

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of:

Franciscus Paulus Maria Budzelaar

Application No.: 10/598,642

Confirmation No.: 8420

Filed: September 7, 2006

Art Unit: 4163

For: ACTIVE MATRIX DISPLAY DEVICE

Examiner: K. Sharifi-Tafreshi

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

MS Amendment
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

November 12, 2009

Dear Madam:

In response to the restriction requirement set forth in the Office Action mailed October 16, 2009, Applicant hereby provisionally elects Species 2 of Fig. 5 for continued examination, with traverse.

Generic claim 1 and species claims 2-7 and 9-11 are readable upon the elected species.


The requirement for election among the species 1-3 is traversed because the search fields for the species 1-3 are necessarily co-extensive. Species 1 directed to Fig.4 is related art. Also, Species 2 directed to Fig.5 and Species 3 directed to Fig.7 have the same special technical feature regarding "the display device is further arranged to execute a calibration phase...until said programming current is applied". The generic claims 1 and 10, which are a device claim and a method claim respectively, show the same characteristics. Therefore, it would not pose a serious burden on the Examiner to consider all claims in a single application.

Conclusion

Should the Examiner feel that further discussion of the application may be warranted, please do not hesitate to contact the undersigned at the address and telephone listed below.

Applicant believes no fee is due with this response. However, if a fee is due, please charge our Deposit Account No. 50-3537, under Order No. 22173-70304 from which the undersigned is authorized to draw.

Respectfully submitted,

By 
Raymond J. Ho
Registration No.: 41,838
MORRIS, MANNING & MARTIN, LLP
1333 H Street NW
Suite 820
Washington, DC 20005
(202) 408-5153
Attorney for Applicant